

Some Thoughts on the Future of Internet Transport

Colin Perkins

Slides for panel at IFIP Networking workshop on Future of Internet Transport, 12 June 2017

New applications drive transport evolution...

- Multimedia, augmented- and virtual-reality → latency sensitive
 - AQM, ECN, congestion control → don't fill the queues
 - Path discovery, NAT traversal, happy eyeballs for connection racing, multihoming, multipath, peer-to-peer → find and use “best” available path
 - Time-bounded partial reliability, avoiding HoL blocking → new APIs & UDP-based protocols
- Privacy and confidentiality:
 - Snowden revelations → “encrypt all the things”, but is this the right model?
 - Technical capabilities and societal norms still evolving
 - How to manage/debug the network? How to support legitimate security service requests?
- Security and robustness:
 - Design APIs and protocols to reduce vulnerabilities to hacking – make use of new language features and type systems, avoid risky protocol constructs
 - Reflect protocol messages, types, and states in APIs and code structure – implementations should be validated as matching the specifications
 - Generating parsing and serialisation code from a specification should be a solved problem

...within the constraints of an ossified network

- Must recognise that network ossification is a good thing:
 - It means the Internet succeeded
 - The network is critical infrastructure – it should be hard to change
 - Network ossification, backwards compatibility, is essential – even if it complicates protocol evolution
- Packet formats must be retained, as must protocol semantics that are visible to the network
- End-to-end behaviour that doesn't impact the network can change
 - Layer boundaries can change; packet formats can be reinterpreted – UDP as a substrate?

How to Manage Transport Evolution?

- The rate of change in protocols and APIs is increasing
- Backward compatibility and feature interactions lead to increasingly baroque designs
- We can envisage new protocols – but can we keep up?
 - How can we rapidly and effectively write *correct* protocol specifications?
 - How can we ensure implementations match those specifications?
 - Do we have the right tools to design, validate, and implement protocols for the future?